PATENT COOPERATION TREATY REC'D 1 1 NOV 2005 From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY PCT To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE see form PCT/ISA/220 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION see form PCT/ISA/220 See paragraph 2 below International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) International application No. 07.07.2004 06.07.2005 PCT/L2005/000719 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC G01N15/14 **Applicant** MOLECULAR CYTOMICS LTD. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: ☑ Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. 11 Priority Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability ☑ Box No. III ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application **FURTHER ACTION** 2. If a demand for International preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:



European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465 Authorized Officer

Jakober, F

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-7688



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IL2005/000719

	Box N	o. I Basis of the opinion				
1.	With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.					
	This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).					
2.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:					
a. type of material:						
		a sequence listing				
		table(s) related to the sequence listing				
	b. form	at of material:				
		in written format				
		in computer readable form				
c. time of filing/furnishing:						
		contained in the international application as filed.				
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.				
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.				
3.	ha cc	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto as been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional pies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as propriate, were furnished.				
4.	Additio	onal comments:				
_	Box N	o. II Priority				
1.	The validity of the priority claim has not been considered because the International Searching Authority does not have in its possession a copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed or, where required, a translation of that earlier application. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date (Rules 43bis.1 and 64.1) is the claimed priority date.					
2.	ha	This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.				
3.	Additio	onal observations, if necessary:				

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IL2005/000719

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:					
	the entire international application,				
Ø	d claims Nos. 13-39				
because:					
	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):				
\boxtimes	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. 13-39 are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):				
	see separate sheet				
	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.				
	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos.				
	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:				
	the written form		has not been furnished		
			does not comply with the standard		
	the computer readable form		has not been furnished		
			does not comply with the standard		
	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.				
	☐ See separate sheet for further details				

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IL2005/000719

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bls.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

Claims No:

1,2,40,76,78,82,84

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

Claims No:

3-12,41-75,77,79-81,85-95

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims Claims

No:

1-95

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Certain observations on the international application Box No. VIII

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

well-bearing component having a lower surface, an upper surface and a side; a plurality of wells disposed on said upper surface and a light source configured to illuminate said well bearing component. D1 discloses an illumination from the lower/upper surface, however it is well known in the art to use lateral illumination as disclosed in document D6 so that the subject-matter of claim 76 cannot be considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT).

3. Dependent claims 2 to 12, 41 to 75, 77, 79 to 81, 83 and 85 to 95 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step, see documents D1 to D6 and the corresponding passages cited in the search report.

Re Item VIII

Certain observations on the international application

- 1. Paragraph line 16 to 20 of page 45 renders the scope of the application and therefore the scope of protection very obscure because the features which could be combined in different embodiments are not mentioned so that the corresponding object is not defined (Article 6 PCT).
- 2. The "spirit and scope" clause used page 54, line 25 is vague and unclear and serves only to cast unnecessary doubt upon the intended scope of the claims (Article 6 PCT).
- 3. Claims 1 and 13 refer to a method of identifying an image of a well in an image of a well bearing component. However, all the step defined in the claims refers to a method of acquiring an image and certainly not to a method of identifying an object in an image. The claims are therefore unclear (Article 6 PCT).
- 4. Some of the claims refer to a focal point of a feature. This expression is very unclear because the feature is not define (Article 6 PCT).